



Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Ms. LaSalle wanted the examiner to make sure the above prior art, previously submitted on a PTO-1449, did not anticipate or suggest the instant invention. After analyzing the above prior art again, the examiner determined that the Amendments to the claims submitted 20 November 2003, along with additional proposed Examiner's Amendments, clearly distinguish the instant invention as novel over all prior art of record, specifically the documents listed above. Ms. LaSalle agreed to the proposed Examiner's Amendments, which are contained herein. .